

# 2021 JOINT FINAL ALLOCATION PLAN

## Soil and Water Resource Management Grant Program and Nonpoint Source Program

The allocations identified in this plan provide counties and others with grant funding for conservation staff and support costs, landowner cost-sharing, and runoff management projects. The Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) are making these allocations to protect Wisconsin's soil and water resources, consistent with the objectives in chs.92 and 281, Wis. Stats.

DATCP is allocating grants to county land conservation committees (counties) and other project cooperators in 2021 through the Soil and Water Resource Management Program (Table A).

DNR is allocating grants to counties through the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM), the

NR 243 Notice of Discharge (NOD), and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Planning Projects (UNPS-Construction) programs (Table B).

For 2021, a total of \$22,803,048 is allocated based on the state budget for the 2019-21 biennium. Table C summarizes all allocations, by grantee. Organized by funding category, Chart 1 below summarizes grant fund requests, unmet funding requests, and allocation amounts. Chart 2 below shows the allocation categories by funding sources.

**If required, these allocations may be adjusted based on reductions or lapses in appropriations or authorizations.**

**Chart 1: Grant Requests and Allocations**

Funding Category	Total Requests	Unmet Requests	Allocation Amounts
<b>DATCP ALLOCATIONS</b>			
County Staff/Support	\$17,901,752	\$8,462,652	\$9,439,100
County LWRM Cost-Share (B)	\$7,411,250	\$3,911,250	\$3,500,000
Bond Cost-Share Reserve (B)	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
LWRM Cost-Share (SEG)	\$2,953,972	\$755,000	\$2,198,972
Project Contracts (SEG)	\$1,325,926	\$383,756	\$942,170
NMFE Training Grants (SEG)	\$ 288,418	\$29,560	\$258,858
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$30,181,318</b>	<b>\$13,542,218</b>	<b>\$16,639,100</b>
<b>DNR ALLOCATIONS</b>			
UNPS Planning	NA	NA	NA
UNPS Construction	\$68,250	\$0	\$68,250
TRM Construction	\$9,235,627	\$4,639,929	\$4,595,698
NOD Reserve (B)			\$1,500,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 9,303,877</b>	<b>\$4,639,929</b>	<b>\$6,163,948</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$22,803,048</b>

**Abbreviations Used Above:**

**LWRM** = Land & Water Resource Management Plan Implementation  
**B** = Bond Revenue  
**SEG** = Segregated Revenue  
**NA** = Not Applicable or Available  
**TRM** = Targeted Runoff Management  
**UNPS** = Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management

**Chart 2: Funding Sources**

<b>Staff and Support Grants</b>	
\$6,411,900	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qe)
\$3,027,200	DATCP GPR from s. 20.115(7)(c)
<b>\$9,439,100</b>	<b>DATCP Subtotal</b>
\$100,000	DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(dq)
\$422,229	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
<b>\$522,229</b>	<b>DNR Subtotal</b>
<b>\$9,961,329</b>	<b>TOTAL Staff &amp; Support Grants</b>
<b>Cost-Share Grants</b>	
\$3,500,000	DATCP Bond from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$300,000	DATCP Bond (Reserve) from s. 20.866(2)(we)
\$2,198,972	DATCP SEG from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
<b>\$5,998,972</b>	<b>DATCP Subtotal</b>
\$4,800,037	DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(tf)
\$68,250	DNR Bond Revenue from s. 20.866(2)(th)
\$100,000	DNR SEG from s. 20.370(6)(aq)
\$673,432	DNR Sec. 319 Account (Federal)
<b>\$5,641,719</b>	<b>DNR Subtotal</b>
<b>\$11,640,691</b>	<b>TOTAL Cost-Share Grants</b>
<b>Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE) &amp; Other Project Cooperator (OPC) Grants</b>	
\$258,858	DATCP SEG (NMFE) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
\$942,170	DATCP SEG (OPC) from s. 20.115(7)(qf)
<b>\$1,201,028</b>	<b>TOTAL NMFE &amp; Other Grants</b>
<b>\$22,803,048</b>	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>

**Table A: DATCP Allocations**

County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation		Total DATCP Allocation	County	DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation	LWRM Plan Implementation Allocation		Total DATCP Allocation
		Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing				Bond Cost-Sharing	SEG Cost-Sharing	
Adams	118,335	49,900	25,000	193,235	Oconto	144,022	52,900	50,972	247,894
Ashland	109,884	49,500	20,000	179,384	Oneida	101,181	47,900	0	149,081
Barron	133,829	61,900	35,000	230,729	Outagamie	182,729	41,650	65,000	289,379
Bayfield	119,187	53,500	8,000	180,687	Ozaukee	147,624	55,000	25,000	227,624
Brown	152,638	31,500	20,000	204,138	Pepin	107,109	43,400	40,000	190,509
Buffalo	107,652	47,650	20,000	175,302	Pierce	139,885	58,750	20,000	218,635
Burnett	99,223	29,500	20,000	148,723	Polk	133,522	46,250	0	179,772
Calumet	152,070	43,400	40,000	235,470	Portage	148,692	56,000	0	204,692
Chippewa	182,536	49,750	55,000	287,286	Price	92,670	41,400	0	134,070
Clark	126,177	73,500	80,000	279,677	Racine	151,585	54,500	55,000	261,085
Columbia	123,580	73,500	95,000	292,080	Richland	100,475	54,150	20,000	174,625
Crawford	109,090	54,150	8,000	171,240	Rock	164,360	60,000	75,000	299,360
Dane	196,094	55,400	95,000	346,494	Rusk	96,334	43,400	25,000	164,734
Dodge	151,992	43,500	10,000	205,492	Saint Croix	119,892	45,000	35,000	199,892
Door	144,315	50,000	28,000	222,315	Sauk	140,180	65,750	55,000	260,930
Douglas	112,221	17,000	0	129,221	Sawyer	95,549	34,000	8,000	137,549
Dunn	159,463	61,900	28,000	249,363	Shawano	130,970	41,650	40,000	212,620
Eau Claire	144,654	54,500	55,000	254,154	Sheboygan	152,997	54,500	20,000	227,497
Florence	75,000	33,300	0	108,300	Taylor	121,573	74,650	40,000	236,223
Fond du Lac	160,840	40,000	20,000	220,840	Trempealeau	128,603	66,500	30,000	225,103
Forest	101,995	13,900	10,000	125,895	Vernon	129,142	66,500	55,000	250,642
Grant	114,163	55,400	0	169,563	Vilas	124,162	33,400	0	157,562
Green	142,884	61,750	20,000	224,634	Walworth	149,606	48,000	20,000	217,606
Green Lake	156,938	54,500	30,000	241,438	Washburn	110,616	44,900	6,000	161,516
Iowa	125,719	45,000	45,000	215,719	Washington	136,353	44,900	10,000	191,253
Iron	111,729	48,500	0	160,229	Waukesha	178,218	28,000	0	206,218
Jackson	131,489	74,650	20,000	226,139	Waupaca	137,436	59,250	95,000	291,686
Jefferson	151,690	33,750	12,000	197,440	Waushara	140,703	49,900	25,000	215,603
Juneau	117,651	41,500	20,000	179,151	Winnebago	161,726	31,500	55,000	248,226
Kenosha	131,244	42,000	16,000	189,244	Wood	148,041	59,250	54,000	261,291
Kewaunee	157,770	49,900	20,000	227,670	Reserve		300,000		300,000
LaCrosse	153,985	37,400	20,000	211,385	Sub-Totals	\$9,439,100	\$3,800,000	\$2,198,972	\$15,438,072
Lafayette	94,309	60,000	20,000	174,309					
Langlade	93,687	43,400	40,000	177,087	OTHER PROJECT COOPERATOR (OPC) FUNDING				
Lincoln	99,277	42,000	0	141,277	UW-CALS		527,469		
Manitowoc	158,494	57,150	75,000	290,644	WI Land + Water (WLWCA)		225,401		
Marathon	145,072	73,500	95,000	313,572	Standard Oversight Council (SOC)		38,000		
Marinette	128,344	63,900	55,000	247,244	Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE)		258,858		
Marquette	131,429	31,500	65,000	227,929	Innovation Grants			151,300	
Menominee	94,200	20,000	0	114,200					
Milwaukee	75,000	20,000	0	95,000	Sub-Total				\$1,201,028
Monroe	127,296	54,000	50,000	231,296	TOTAL	\$9,439,100	\$3,800,000	\$3,400,000	\$16,639,100

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

County	Tier 1	Tier 2									DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at (67% of 70%)	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award No Funds Available	
Adams	75,000	83,300	8,300	83,300	52,543	52,543	35,035	118,335	26,148	0	118,335
Ashland	75,000	76,817	1,817	76,817	49,592	49,592	33,067	109,884	21,211	0	109,884
Barron	75,000	91,870	16,870	91,870	62,927	62,927	41,959	133,829	42,185	0	133,829
Bayfield	75,000	84,419	9,419	84,419	52,142	52,142	34,768	119,187	35,675	0	119,187
Brown	75,000	108,139	33,139	108,139	66,736	66,736	44,499	152,638	41,811	0	152,638
Buffalo	75,000	80,494	5,494	80,494	40,729	40,729	27,158	107,652	28,746	0	107,652
Burnett	75,000	71,618	0	75,000	39,710	36,328	24,223	99,223	27,253	0	99,223
Calumet	75,000	106,631	31,631	106,631	68,146	68,146	45,439	152,070	48,347	0	152,070
Chippewa	75,000	127,567	52,567	127,567	82,439	82,439	54,969	182,536	48,081	0	182,536
Clark	75,000	90,489	15,489	90,489	53,522	53,522	35,688	126,177	35,466	0	126,177
Columbia	75,000	84,447	9,447	84,447	58,689	58,689	39,133	123,580	41,773	0	123,580
Crawford	75,000	73,877	0	75,000	52,249	51,126	34,090	109,090	26,149	0	109,090
Dane	75,000	138,729	63,729	138,729	86,032	86,032	57,365	196,094	56,199	0	196,094
Dodge	75,000	109,122	34,122	109,122	64,294	64,294	42,870	151,992	40,790	0	151,992
Door	75,000	101,172	26,172	101,172	64,703	64,703	43,143	144,315	44,701	0	144,315
Douglas	75,000	79,222	4,222	79,222	49,490	49,490	32,999	112,221	9,962	0	112,221
Dunn	75,000	110,966	35,966	110,966	72,732	72,732	48,497	159,463	50,277	0	159,463
Eau Claire	75,000	101,989	26,989	101,989	63,986	63,986	42,665	144,654	41,660	0	144,654
Florence	75,000	53,949	0	75,000	8,495	0	0	75,000	4,422	0	75,000
Fond du Lac	75,000	114,114	39,114	114,114	70,076	70,076	46,726	160,840	37,289	0	160,840
Forest	75,000	83,052	8,052	83,052	28,410	28,410	18,943	101,995	13,778	0	101,995
Grant	75,000	81,114	6,114	81,114	49,565	49,565	33,049	114,163	34,765	0	114,163
Green	75,000	108,606	33,606	108,606	51,407	51,407	34,278	142,884	36,333	0	142,884
Green Lake	75,000	110,820	35,820	110,820	69,164	69,164	46,118	156,938	46,730	0	156,938
Iowa	75,000	95,110	20,110	95,110	45,905	45,905	30,609	125,719	32,693	0	125,719
Iron	75,000	76,985	1,985	76,985	52,107	52,107	34,744	111,729	6,765	0	111,729
Jackson	75,000	92,460	17,460	92,460	58,533	58,533	39,029	131,489		0	131,489
Jefferson	75,000	106,081	31,081	106,081	68,401	68,401	45,609	151,690	47,374	0	151,690
Juneau	75,000	80,904	5,904	80,904	55,111	55,111	36,747	117,651	29,326	0	117,651
Kenosha	75,000	110,276	35,276	110,276	31,447	31,447	20,968	131,244	13,547	0	131,244
Kewaunee	75,000	113,399	38,399	113,399	66,546	66,546	44,372	157,771	38,165	0	157,770
LaCrosse	75,000	107,139	32,139	107,139	70,256	70,256	46,846	153,985	50,181	0	153,985
Lafayette	75,000	65,053	0	75,000	38,905	28,958	19,309	94,309	23,564	0	94,309
Langlade	75,000	77,953	2,953	77,953	23,596	23,596	15,734	93,687	7,652	0	93,687
Lincoln	75,000	83,740	8,740	83,740	23,302	23,302	15,537	99,277	7,239	0	99,277
Manitowoc	75,000	110,447	35,447	110,447	72,057	72,057	48,047	158,494	51,373	0	158,494

Table A-1: Staff and Support Tier 1, Tier 2, Rounds One, Two and Three

County	Tier 1	Tier 2									DATCP Staffing & Support Allocation
	Base Allocation	First Position at 100% (Round 1)	Round 1 Award	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1)	Second Position at 70% (Round 2)	Eligible Round 2 Award	Round 2 Award at (67% of 70%)	Adjusted Award (Tier 1 + Round 1 & 2)	Third Position at 50% (Round 3)	Round 3 Award No Funds Available	
Marathon	75,000	98,967	23,967	98,967	69,145	69,145	46,105	145,072	47,331	0	145,072
Marinette	75,000	89,744	14,744	89,744	57,889	57,889	38,600	128,344	41,862	0	128,344
Marquette	75,000	101,181	26,181	101,181	45,363	45,363	30,248	131,429	20,452	0	131,429
Menominee	75,000	83,925	8,925	83,925	15,410	15,410	10,275	94,200		0	94,200
Milwaukee	75,000		0	75,000	41,419	0	0	75,000	22,756	0	75,000
Monroe	75,000	97,080	22,080	97,080	45,316	45,316	30,216	127,296	26,497	0	127,296
Oconto	75,000	102,126	27,126	102,126	62,833	62,833	41,896	144,022	35,315	0	144,022
Oneida	75,000	72,216	0	75,000	42,049	39,265	26,181	101,181	7,711	0	101,181
Outagamie	75,000	129,137	54,137	129,137	80,374	80,374	53,592	182,729	47,283	0	182,729
Ozaukee	75,000	97,338	22,338	97,338	75,415	75,415	50,286	147,624	42,862	0	147,624
Pepin	75,000	55,859	0	75,000	67,296	48,155	32,109	107,109	18,695	0	107,109
Pierce	75,000	95,362	20,362	95,362	66,772	66,772	44,523	139,885	43,660	0	139,885
Polk	75,000	101,115	26,115	101,115	48,601	48,601	32,407	133,522	31,996	0	133,522
Portage	75,000	107,308	32,308	107,308	62,065	62,065	41,384	148,692	42,124	0	148,692
Price	75,000	61,785	0	75,000	39,715	26,500	17,670	92,670	9,988	0	92,670
Racine	75,000	106,433	31,433	106,433	67,715	67,715	45,152	151,585	32,486	0	151,585
Richland	75,000	71,371	0	75,000	41,834	38,205	25,475	100,475	21,605	0	100,475
Rock	75,000	117,914	42,914	117,914	69,656	69,656	46,446	164,360	46,011	0	164,360
Rusk	75,000	66,808	0	75,000	40,187	31,995	21,334	96,334	14,085	0	96,334
Saint Croix	75,000	85,725	10,725	85,725	51,241	51,241	34,167	119,892	36,270	0	119,892
Sauk	75,000	97,852	22,852	97,852	63,480	63,480	42,328	140,180	38,502	0	140,180
Sawyer	75,000	66,033	0	75,000	39,785	30,818	20,549	95,549	18,490	0	95,549
Shawano	75,000	94,253	19,253	94,253	55,065	55,065	36,717	130,970	34,173	0	130,970
Sheboygan	75,000	110,071	35,071	110,071	64,377	64,377	42,926	152,997	40,595	0	152,997
Taylor	75,000	90,907	15,907	90,907	45,990	45,990	30,666	121,573	31,192	0	121,573
Trempealeau	75,000	78,450	3,450	78,450	75,216	75,216	50,153	128,603	35,517	0	128,603
Vernon	75,000	92,309	17,309	92,309	55,239	55,239	36,833	129,142	36,111	0	129,142
Vilas	75,000	89,390	14,390	89,390	52,148	52,148	34,772	124,162	33,670	0	124,162
Walworth	75,000	103,367	28,367	103,367	69,346	69,346	46,239	149,606	46,868	0	149,606
Washburn	75,000	82,931	7,931	82,931	41,520	41,520	27,685	110,616	6,725	0	110,616
Washington	75,000	100,018	25,018	100,018	54,492	54,492	36,335	136,353	35,815	0	136,353
Waukesha	75,000	127,259	52,259	127,259	76,425	76,425	50,959	178,218	46,162	0	178,218
Waupaca	75,000	94,410	19,410	94,410	64,527	64,527	43,026	137,436	44,287	0	137,436
Waushara	75,000	99,106	24,106	99,106	62,384	62,384	41,597	140,703	46,966	0	140,703
Winnebago	75,000	119,554	44,554	119,554	63,247	63,247	42,172	161,726	44,629	0	161,726
Wood	75,000	110,767	35,767	110,767	55,901	55,901	37,274	148,041	32,724	0	148,041
Totals	5,400,000	6,690,141	1,456,572	6,856,572	3,993,381	3,873,087	2,582,529	9,439,101	2,309,045	0	9,439,100

**Table B: DNR Allocations**

<b>County</b>	<b>Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction</b>	<b>Local Assistance Funding for Large Scale TRM</b>	<b>Urban NPS &amp; Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction</b>	<b>Urban NPS &amp; Storm Water Mgmt. Planning</b>	<b>Total DNR Final Allocations</b>
Adams	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ashland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Barron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bayfield	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Brown	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buffalo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Burnett	\$13,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,993
Calumet	\$171,960	\$0	\$23,250	\$0	\$195,210
Chippewa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Clark	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Columbia	\$361,791	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$361,791
Crawford	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dane	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Dodge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Door	\$220,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220,000
Douglas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dunn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Eau Claire	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Florence	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fond du Lac	\$364,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$364,000
Forest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Green Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iowa	\$98,252	\$39,301	\$0	\$0	\$137,553
Iron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jackson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jefferson	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Juneau	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kenosha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kewaunee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LaCrosse	\$430,000	\$170,000	\$0	\$0	\$600,000
Lafayette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Langlade	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lincoln	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Manitowoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table B: DNR Allocations**

<b>County</b>	<b>Targeted Runoff Mgmt. BMP Construction</b>	<b>Local Assistance Funding for Large Scale TRM</b>	<b>Urban NPS &amp; Storm Water Mgmt. BMP Construction</b>	<b>Urban NPS &amp; Storm Water Mgmt. Planning</b>	<b>Total DNR Final Allocations</b>
Marathon	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Marinette	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Marquette	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Menominee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Milwaukee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monroe	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oconto	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
Oneida	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Outagamie	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ozaukee	\$0	\$0	\$45,000	\$0	\$45,000
Pepin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pierce	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Polk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Portage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Price	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Racine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Richland	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rock	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rusk	\$146,925	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$146,925
Saint Croix	\$354,575	\$141,500	\$0	\$0	\$496,075
Sauk	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sawyer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shawano	\$321,385	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$321,385
Sheboygan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Taylor	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Trempealeau	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vernon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vilas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Walworth	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washburn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waukesha	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Waupaca	\$650,163	\$171,428	\$0	\$0	\$821,591
Waushara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Winnebago	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wood	\$40,425	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40,425
<b>DNR NR243 NOD Reserve</b>					<b>\$1,500,000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,073,469</b>	<b>\$522,229</b>	<b>\$68,250</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$6,163,948</b>

**Table C: Summary of DATCP and DNR Allocations**

County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding	County	Staffing & Support from DATCP and DNR	Cost-Sharing from DATCP and DNR	Total Allocation of DATCP and DNR Funding
Adams	118,335	74,900	193,235	Oconto	144,022	328,872	472,894
Ashland	109,884	69,500	179,384	Oneida	101,181	47,900	149,081
Barron	133,829	96,900	230,729	Outagamie	182,729	106,650	289,379
Bayfield	119,187	61,500	180,687	Ozaukee	147,624	125,000	272,624
Brown	152,638	51,500	204,138	Pepin	107,109	83,400	190,509
Buffalo	107,652	67,650	175,302	Pierce	139,885	78,750	218,635
Burnett	99,223	63,493	162,716	Polk	133,522	46,250	179,772
Calumet	152,070	278,610	430,680	Portage	148,692	56,000	204,692
Chippewa	182,536	104,750	287,286	Price	92,670	41,400	134,070
Clark	126,177	153,500	279,677	Racine	151,585	109,500	261,085
Columbia	123,580	530,291	653,871	Richland	100,475	74,150	174,625
Crawford	109,090	62,150	171,240	Rock	164,360	135,000	299,360
Dane	196,094	375,400	571,494	Rusk	96,334	215,325	311,659
Dodge	151,992	53,500	205,492	Saint Croix	261,392	434,575	695,967
Door	144,315	298,000	442,315	Sauk	140,180	120,750	260,930
Douglas	112,221	17,000	129,221	Sawyer	95,549	42,000	137,549
Dunn	159,463	89,900	249,363	Shawano	130,970	403,035	534,005
Eau Claire	144,654	109,500	254,154	Sheboygan	152,997	74,500	227,497
Florence	75,000	33,300	108,300	Taylor	121,573	114,650	236,223
Fond du Lac	160,840	424,000	584,840	Trempealeau	128,603	96,500	225,103
Forest	101,995	23,900	125,895	Vernon	129,142	121,500	250,642
Grant	114,163	55,400	169,563	Vilas	124,162	33,400	157,562
Green	142,884	81,750	224,634	Walworth	149,606	68,000	217,606
Green Lake	156,938	84,500	241,438	Washburn	110,616	50,900	161,516
Iowa	165,020	188,252	353,272	Washington	136,353	54,900	191,253
Iron	111,729	48,500	160,229	Waukesha	178,218	28,000	206,218
Jackson	131,489	94,650	226,139	Waupaca	308,864	804,413	1,113,277
Jefferson	151,690	45,750	197,440	Wausara	140,703	74,900	215,603
Juneau	117,651	61,500	179,151	Winnebago	161,726	86,500	248,226
Kenosha	131,244	58,000	189,244	Wood	148,041	153,675	301,716
Kewaunee	157,770	69,900	227,670	DATCP NR243 Res.		300,000	300,000
LaCrosse	323,985	487,400	811,385	DNR NR243 Res.		1,500,000	1,500,000
Lafayette	94,309	80,000	174,309	Sub-Totals	9,961,329	\$11,640,691	\$21,602,020
Langlade	93,687	83,400	177,087				
Lincoln	99,277	42,000	141,277	<b>OTHER PROJECT COOPERATOR (OPC) FUNDING</b>			
Manitowoc	158,494	132,150	290,644	UW-CALS		527,469	
Marathon	145,072	393,500	538,572	WI Land + Water (WLWCA)		225,401	
Marinette	128,344	343,900	472,244	Standard Oversight Council (SOC)		38,000	
Marquette	131,429	96,500	227,929	Nutrient Management Farmer Education (NMFE)		258,858	
Menominee	94,200	20,000	114,200	Innovation Grants		151,300	
Milwaukee	75,000	20,000	95,000	Sub-Totals			1,201,028
Monroe	127,296	104,000	231,296	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$9,961,329</b>	<b>\$12,841,719</b>	<b>\$22,803,048</b>



## DATCP ALLOCATIONS

### 1. Staff and Support

The allocation under this category provides county staff and support funding. Grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2021 grant application and instructions located at: [https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs\\_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx](https://datcp.wi.gov/Pages/Programs_Services/SWRMSect6.aspx)

#### A. Funds Available

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of annual appropriations of \$3,027,200 in GPR funds and \$6,411,900 in SEG funds “for support of local land conservation personnel under the soil and water resource management program.” DATCP has no underspending from prior years to increase this allocation.

#### B. Grant Awards

Grants are awarded using the following formula:

##### Tier 1

DATCP is exercising its discretion under s. ATCP 50.32(5) to award each county a \$75,000 base grant.

##### Tier 2

DATCP will allocate the remaining \$4,039,100 using a modified version of the formula designed to meet the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats., of funding 100, 70 and 50 percent of the costs of three staff positions in each county. As modified, the formula allows counties to claim department heads, technicians and engineers as their first positions (entitled to 100 percent funding) only if they work over 95% on eligible conservation activities.

DATCP makes Tier 2 awards in three rounds in an attempt to meet the statutory goal. For round one, DATCP can fully fund county requests for their first position at the 100% rate. However, for round two, DATCP can only

fund about 67% of the county requests for their second position at the 70% rate. DATCP has no funding to make awards in round three to fund a county's third position at the 50% rate. Table A-1 (pages 3 and 4) provides round-by-round details of the Tier 2 allocation for each county.

### Unmet Need for Staff and Support Funds

Despite an increase in appropriations, DATCP would need an additional \$3.6 million in appropriations to reach the goal in s. 92.14(6)(b), Wis. Stats. Even with increases in funding, counties are anticipated to shoulder a significant part of the burden paying staff. For example, in 2019, counties provided funding to pay 212 of the 370 conservation staff employed statewide.

### Reallocation and Redirection

DATCP approves Menominee County's request to reallocate up to \$8,000 to the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin on the condition that county provides a report on the use of the reallocated funds.

### Future Funding Directions

DATCP awards grants for a county's first position only if the staff is actively engaged in qualified conservation activities. Also, DATCP requires annual work planning and reporting in order to qualify for DATCP funding. These requirements build county conservation capacity and better account for the performance of conservation activities using state funds. If additional staffing funding is made available in the future, DATCP may consider further adjustments to the grant formula to advance the goals of capacity building and accountability without compromising the basic funding for county staff.

In the future, DATCP could ensure that counties maintain adequate conservation delivery capacity by requiring that a county's second or third position be engaged in providing high level conservation support as a technician with conservation engineering



practitioner certification or as planner qualified to write nutrient management plans. Also, DATCP could preclude a county from claiming a department head as its second or third position if the county has listed a department head in its first position. To reward county performance, the staffing grant formula could be modified to provide additional payments for counties that are making reasonable progress in implementing their annual work plans or with track records of spending high levels of cost-sharing. If adjustments to the staffing formula are made in the future, DATCP will proceed with caution and only after input from counties, mindful of the challenges, even with increases in the appropriation.

## **2. Bond Revenue Cost-Sharing**

The allocations under this category provide cost-sharing to resolve discharges on farms (awarded to counties from a reserve), and provide counties grants for landowner cost-sharing. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2021 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

### **A. Bond Funds Available**

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$3.5 million (half of DATCP's \$7.0 million authorization in the 2019-21 budget), with the following adjustment:

- Increase the amount by \$300,000 using unspent bond funds previously allocated.

### **B. Grant Awards**

#### **Bond Reserve Projects**

DATCP will allocate \$300,000 to an engineering reserve for the purpose of funding projects to address discharges on farms including regulatory animal waste response (NR 243) projects in cooperation with DNR. The size of the reserve reflects the demand for the funds. These funds are awarded using separate process: obtaining a recommendation from DATCP engineering staff concerning a farm discharge, especially

to address increased costs for managing runoff from feedlots and feed storage.

#### **Landowner Cost-Sharing**

DATCP will allocate \$3,500,000 to counties for landowner cost-sharing. DATCP makes county awards by first providing base funding, and then awarding funds based on criteria related to county performance and need. This approach is designed to better meet the statewide priorities set in s. ATCP 50.30(2), including the need to address farms with water quality issues and support farmer participation in the farmland preservation program (FPP).

After providing each county \$10,000 in base funding, DATCP awards the remaining \$2,780,000 using two performance-based criteria (a 3-year record of cumulative spending of cost-share funds, and a 3-year average of underspending of cost-share funds) and one needs-based criteria (farmland acres based on 2017 USDA Ag Census data). Minor manual adjustments are then made to the allocation, if needed.

Table A-2 shows each county's total award amount and the factors that contributed to the county's award.

#### **Unmet Need for Bond Cost-Share Funds**

DATCP's allocation provided 47% of the bond funds requested, leaving \$3,911,250 in unsatisfied county requests. A chronic shortfall in bond funds has practical implications for our capacity to implement state and local priorities including farm runoff standards. Of particular concern, cost-share dollars are not keeping pace with increased costs for conservation practices and expanded priorities reflected in new NR 151 targeted performance standards.

#### **Future Funding Directions**

DATCP discontinued including grant funds received via a notice of intent or notice of discharge project in the allocation calculations in the 2019 Allocation Plan. Having followed this request, DATCP has noted that the

removal of these funds from positive spending has a detrimental impact on county allocations. Administratively, the time required to track these funds outside of the SWRM database has proven burdensome. Therefore, starting with the 2021 allocation, grant funds received via the notice of discharge, notice of intent and engineering reserve programs will be included in the Allocation formulas.

Additionally, in response to the impact of unusual weather events during 2018 and 2019, the SWRM program managers determined the best way to ensure future allocations are not unfairly effected is to eliminate the inclusion of extended underspending in the bond award calculations for grant cycles for 2021, 2022, 2023. After this three year period, the matter will be reassessed.

### **3. SEG Fund Allocation**

The allocations under this category provide funding for (1) landowner cost-sharing for soft practices including nutrient management (NM), (2) farmer and related training involving NM, and (3) NM implementation support and other projects of statewide importance. Unless otherwise noted below, grants are awarded consistent with the terms of the 2021 grant application and instructions (see page 8 for the link to these documents).

#### **A. Funds Available**

The allocation amount listed on page one consists of \$4,425,000 appropriation in SEG funds “for cost-sharing grants and contracts under the soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14” with the following adjustments:

- A decrease of \$750,000 as a result of a redirection of funds for producer-led watershed protection grants.
- A decrease of \$275,000 for a reserve fund that will be used to for a competitive supplemental allocation in the first quarter of 2021. The supplemental allocation will target

innovative proposals related to harvestable buffers, small grains, performance standard implementation, climate resiliency and other projects meant to improve Wisconsin’s land conservation and water quality.

Of the \$3,400,000 available for allocation, \$2,198,972 will be provided to counties for landowner cost-sharing, \$258,858 will be awarded for farmer NM training, \$151,300 will be given to counties for innovation grants and \$790,870 will be awarded to project cooperators for training and support services. The majority of funding awarded in this category directly benefits farmers and other landowners by providing NM cost-sharing and farmer training.

#### **Landowner Cost-Sharing**

DATCP provides grants to counties primarily for cost-sharing NM plans at \$10 per acre for four years, the flat rate that covers the costs to meet the 2015 Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) 590 Standard. Some of these funds may be used to cost-share (a) cover crops and other cropping practices to implement a NM plan, and (b) for “hard practices” with DATCP approval if the county’s grant contract authorizes such use.

Fifty-nine counties applied for \$2,953,972 in grants, and DATCP will award \$2,198,972 to applicants based on ranking determined by the following scoring criteria:

- Up to 20 points for having one or more Agricultural Enterprise Areas within the county.
- Up to 20 points based on the extent of impaired waters located in each county.
- Up to 30 points based on percent of acres in a county with NM plans (established by checklist submissions to DATCP in the prior year).
- Up to 30 points based on a county’s total positive spending on NM cost-sharing and NMFE for the previous year.

DATCP relies on data in its possession to score county applications based on the four funding criteria. Counties are ranked

according to their cumulative score (up to 100 points) and are organized into five groups for allocation purposes. Counties receive the highest maximum award for their grouping, unless a county requests a lower amount. The five award groups are as follows:

**Group 1** (80-100 points)

Maximum Award: \$95,000

Maximum awards in the group: 4 of 6

**Group 2** (65-79 points)

Maximum Award: \$75,000

Maximum awards in group: 2 of 6

**Group 3** (50-64 points)

Maximum Award: \$55,000

Maximum awards in group: 6 of 21

**Group 4** (25-49 points)

Maximum Award: \$45,000

Maximum awards in group: 3 of 16

**Group 5** (less than 24 points)

Maximum Award: \$35,000

Maximum awards in group: 1 of 10

Funds were then manually adjusted in a few cases to provide additional SEG funding to counties who requested larger allocations and have demonstrated an ability to spend it. In no case did the award exceed a county's request or the maximum of \$95,000. Table A-3 enumerates each county's score, grouping, and grant award. The term "N/A" identifies the thirteen counties that did not apply for funds.

Table A (page 2) also reflects amounts allocated to each county under the "SEG Cost-Sharing" column. Adams, Brown, Calumet, Door, Fond du Lac, Kewaunee, and Manitowoc Counties have 75 percent or more of cropland covered by nutrient management plans and qualify to spend up to 50% of 2021 SEG funds on bondable practices. See 2019 Update, <https://datcp.wi.gov/Documents/NMUpdate2019.pdf>

## **NMFE Training Grants**

For 2021, DATCP fully funded all but two requests, in the amounts listed in Table A-4.

<b>Table A-4: NMFE Grant Awards</b>	
<b>Organization</b>	<b>Total Award</b>
Buffalo Co.	\$12,200
Clark Co, et al	\$35,250
CVTC	\$20,000
Douglas Co.	\$6,370
Eau Claire Co.	\$8,600
Kewaunee Co.	\$21,800
Langlade Co.	\$9,860
Mid-state Tech Col	\$18,750
Mukwonago R Farmer Alliance	\$18,928
NWTC	\$17,530
Rusk Co	\$2,150
SWTC	\$18,370
Trempealeau Co./ WTC	\$20,000
Vernon Co. / WTC	\$27,300
Washington Co.	\$1,750
Western WI Conservation Council.	\$20,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$258,858</b>

All grant recipients must sign a contract with DATCP that incorporates the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35 and commits the project to developing NM plans that meet the 2015 NRCS 590 standards.

## **Statewide Projects: Nutrient Management Implementation Support, Cooperators**

In addition to supporting NMFE training, DATCP uses its SEG appropriation for projects that contribute to statewide conservation goals, meeting the following grant priorities in s. ATCP 50.30(3): fund cost-effective activities that address and resolve high priority problems; build a systematic and comprehensive approach to soil erosion and water quality problems; contribute to a coordinated soil and water resource management program and avoid duplication of effort. DATCP has targeted the following areas for funding: nutrient

management implementation activities including SnapPlus, support for statewide training of conservation professionals, development of technical standards, and coordinated activities in AEAs and impaired waters. Seven of the awards also include funds to purchase laptops for training.

In the cooperator subcategory of Nutrient Management Implementation Support, DATCP received one application from the UW-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences (UW-CALS) with different options for funding ranging from a low of \$580,000 to a high of \$730,000. DATCP will fund the UW-CALS request as follows: (1) \$227,469 for maintaining and improving education and training (2) \$280,000 for SNAP Plus maintenance and development, and (3) \$20,000 for development of A2809 calculator. Funding this project supports tools and information needed by government agencies and farmers to implement the nutrient management standard and the Phosphorus Index, and support moving to remote training options as well.

Funding UW CALS / Nutrient and Pest Management Program supports the development of a digital, self-paced, interactive, interview-based NM planning workbook with an updated NM curriculum. The workbook will be obtained online or on a thumb drive, but will also be available as a printed document. The UW CALS project will also include the continued development of training videos to be linked into the interactive workbook and the SnapPlus NM software program. Finally, the award supports hiring a SnapPlus education specialist dedicated to state-wide training on the use of SnapPlus for NM planning.

In the general category of project cooperator, DATCP will provide the following funding. Wisconsin Land and Water Conservation Association (WI Land+Water) is awarded \$225,401, the same as their 2020 award but \$7,000 less than their request. The funds are intended to build statewide capacity to deliver and coordinate conservation training including implementation of recommendations of the

statewide interagency training committee (SITCOM) and the Producer-Led Watershed Protection Grants Annual Workshop. Funding also supports activities to promote accountability among county conservation programs.

The Standards Oversight Council (SOC) is awarded the full \$38,000 requested which fairly recognizes the higher costs for maintaining statewide capacity to develop and maintain technical standards for conservation programs and the specific support for DATCP standards.

Traditionally, up to \$3,500 is awarded to the host county for costs related to Conservation Observance Day. Due to the restrictions related to the Covid-19 outbreak, the 2020 Conservation Observance Day was cancelled. Vernon County, the 2020 host, will host the event in 2021. The allocated funds from 2020 will be extended to 2021 to account for this.

With the 2021 SWRM grant application, counties and producer-led watershed groups were invited to submit Innovation Grant requests for new ways to approach land and water conservation. Eight applications were received, six from counties, one from a collaborator working with several producer-led watershed groups, and one from a researcher. A total of \$301,569 SEG funds were requested. A total of \$151,300 is awarded as follows:

Innovation Grant	Amount
Ashland Co. FPP Incentives	\$25,000
Langlade Co. AEA Incentives	\$22,000
Marathon Co. AEA Incentives	\$30,000
Monroe Co. AEA Incentives	\$41,000
Sauk Co. AEA Incentives	\$33,300

DATCP received proposals for three projects which it decided not to fund. DATCP will not fund the Lafayette County small grains proposal (\$50,000) due to the application being incomplete. However, DATCP is interested in funding a small grains project in the future. DATCP will also not fund the Dairy Strong Sustainability Alliance proposal (\$20,500) for a computer application that

would be used to help conservation planning in Lafayette County. DATCP determined that it needs to further consider whether or not to use SWRM to fund specific producer-led projects outside of the existing grant process given the statutory direction to provide funding to producer-led groups not to exceed \$750,000. If additional funding is provided, it will be through an open, competitive funding process. Finally, DATCP will not fund the Runoff Risk Advisory Forecast (RRAF) proposal (\$79,769). This research project is better suited to other grant funds, such as the Groundwater Coordinating Council's joint solicitation process.

The 2021 cooperator awards are documented in the lower right-hand corner of Table A (page 2). All award recipients are required to sign grant contracts that incorporate the requirements of s. ATCP 50.35, and include significant accountability measures.

### **Unmet Need for Cost-Share Funding**

DATCP will provide about 74% of the SEG funding requested by counties for cost-sharing, which is \$755,000 less than the requested amounts. While additional cost-share funding could have been allocated, the average total spent by counties annually over the past several year is closer to \$1.9 million, substantially less than was requested each year, and less than what was allocated.

### **Future Funding Directions**

With additional SEG appropriations available to allocate, DATCP must consider how it can best implement conservation practices. On a fundamental level, DATCP will consider whether SEG dollars should be set aside to cost-share conservation practices historically funded by bond dollars. DATCP has consistently fallen short of meeting the demand for cost-sharing bondable practices, and diversion of SEG dollars may help fill the gap. DATCP does permit this on a minor level to the few counties with over 75% of cropland acres in NM plans; however, the department may want to open this up to all or a larger number of counties.

Also, there may be other emerging areas or practices where SEG funds could be used or targeted to implement conservation practices and improve soil and watershed management, including things like harvestable buffers, cropping practices, small grains projects, practices that improve climate resiliency, precision agriculture, and carbon credit processing.

To the extent that DATCP will spend SEG funding to support nutrient management (NM) planning and implementation, DATCP will use feedback from counties and other stakeholders to determine which, if any, of the following strategies should be used:

- Use additional funds to hire agronomists to provide education in targeted areas;
- Expand the number of agronomists available to support NM planning (especially if DATCP does not target part of staffing grants to accomplish the same goal);
- Develop partnerships to expand NM training with the goal of smaller class sizes and specialized training;
- Build outreach to the private sector to make improvements in plans;
- Increase capacity to monitor and review the quality of NM plans and provide feedback;
- Build a stronger connection to the co-ops, consultants and fertilizer dealers to promote nutrient management;
- Provide additional funding to AEAs to incentivize landowners to sign FPP agreements.
- Better incorporate nutrient management planning to DATCP programs such as producer led watershed protection.

Regarding the allocation of SEG funds specifically for nutrient management cost-sharing, DATCP remains interested in refining the formula for awarding county cost-sharing and the policies surrounding its use. For example, DATCP needs to respond to concerns about the criterion related to nutrient management plan coverage in a county. The criteria needs to better capture NM plan coverage in a county to reflect acres under plans, not just the percentage of land in a county under NM plans.

Before making major changes to what is funded and how it is distributed, DATCP will engage key stakeholders to develop a workable approach. The counties and producer led groups can share insights on approaches to effectively target cost-sharing and increase farmer participation. Discussions about future use of SEG funds were planned for March and April 2020 as part of DATCP's

annual conservation partner meetings, but were postponed due to the COVID-19 response. DATCP rescheduled these as virtual sessions for September 2020 and intends to use input from them to implement changes to SEG funding for the 2022 allocation.



**Table A-2: County Bond Cost-Share Awards**

County	Bond				County	Bond			
	17-19 Cumulative Average Under- Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	17-19 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award		17-19 Cumulative Average Under- Spending*	2017 Census Acres**	17-19 Cumulative Total Dollars Spent***	Award
Adams	0.1%	117,206	\$117,276	\$49,900	Marathon	0.0%	473,147	\$275,771	\$73,500
Ashland	0.0%	52,428	\$163,553	\$49,500	Marinette	0.5%	133,068	\$451,719	\$63,900
Barron	0.1%	305,604	\$120,225	\$61,900	Marquette	3.9%	113,183	\$92,750	\$31,500
Bayfield	0.0%	81,041	\$170,580	\$53,500	Menominee	1.3%	290	\$44,255	\$20,000
Brown	6.2%	192,007	\$73,856	\$31,500	Milwaukee	0.0%	6,990	\$6,672	\$20,000
Buffalo	1.7%	293,130	\$120,752	\$47,650	Monroe	4.5%	300,659	\$156,435	\$54,000
Burnett	2.0%	89,237	\$71,986	\$29,500	Oconto	0.0%	189,898	\$112,491	\$52,900
Calumet	0.7%	153,858	\$105,248	\$43,400	Oneida	0.0%	34,670	\$107,671	\$47,900
Chippewa	1.5%	356,176	\$113,388	\$49,750	Outagamie	3.2%	236,963	\$125,089	\$41,650
Clark	0.0%	451,035	\$222,201	\$73,500	Ozaukee	0.0%	59,299	\$159,938	\$55,000
Columbia	0.0%	304,058	\$203,245	\$73,500	Pepin	0.5%	106,881	\$117,397	\$43,400
Crawford	0.0%	210,550	\$129,393	\$54,150	Pierce	0.0%	233,188	\$176,916	\$58,750
Dane	0.6%	506,688	\$128,108	\$55,400	Polk	5.6%	256,114	\$154,427	\$46,250
Dodge	6.5%	405,992	\$84,073	\$43,500	Portage	2.1%	280,410	\$162,198	\$56,000
Door	0.0%	114,508	\$76,701	\$50,000	Price	1.8%	89,203	\$116,304	\$41,400
Douglas	44.9%	69,759	\$6,291	\$17,000	Racine	0.0%	127,496	\$184,308	\$54,500
Dunn	0.0%	348,301	\$125,045	\$61,900	Richland	0.2%	220,843	\$137,249	\$54,150
Eau Claire	0.0%	172,256	\$164,421	\$54,500	Rock	1.8%	353,505	\$193,319	\$60,000
Florence	0.5%	18,609	\$84,530	\$33,300	Rusk	1.1%	136,062	\$125,815	\$43,400
Fond du Lac	4.0%	317,371	\$117,724	\$40,000	Saint Croix	0.0%	279,191	\$63,535	\$45,000
Forest	58.3%	38,084	\$11,148	\$13,900	Sauk	0.0%	298,906	\$201,090	\$65,750
Grant	1.1%	600,324	\$148,912	\$55,400	Sawyer	2.7%	46,009	\$87,001	\$34,000
Green	0.0%	292,368	\$181,093	\$61,750	Shawano	4.7%	247,241	\$100,362	\$41,650
Green Lake	0.0%	126,751	\$178,354	\$54,500	Sheboygan	0.0%	195,938	\$161,661	\$54,500
Iowa	1.6%	360,134	\$85,637	\$45,000	Taylor	0.1%	225,856	\$357,264	\$74,650
Iron	0.0%	9,200	\$151,582	\$48,500	Trempealeau	0.4%	329,916	\$187,203	\$66,500
Jackson	0.0%	248,342	\$369,041	\$74,650	Vernon	0.0%	337,086	\$173,297	\$66,500
Jefferson	18.8%	221,355	\$73,256	\$33,750	Vilas	1.3%	5,652	\$110,003	\$33,400
Juneau	2.1%	175,417	\$47,737	\$41,500	Walworth	1.5%	192,422	\$152,814	\$48,000
Kenosha	0.1%	77,782	\$85,641	\$42,000	Washburn	0.0%	73,773	\$134,173	\$44,900
Kewaunee	0.4%	170,405	\$144,434	\$49,900	Washington	0.0%	126,146	\$115,987	\$44,900
LaCrosse	5.9%	144,334	\$137,549	\$37,400	Waukesha	6.9%	97,460	\$88,652	\$28,000
Lafayette	1.2%	342,518	\$152,714	\$60,000	Waupaca	0.7%	201,603	\$263,411	\$59,250
Langlade	1.0%	116,386	\$115,836	\$43,400	Waushara	0.0%	135,306	\$131,562	\$49,900
Lincoln	0.0%	78,293	\$107,149	\$42,000	Winnebago	6.3%	162,052	\$60,592	\$31,500
Manitowoc	0.2%	231,609	\$117,810	\$57,150	Wood	1.4%	220,891	\$215,366	\$59,250
					<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$3,500,000</b>

Each County was given a base of \$10,000 to help counties receive closer to their requested amount. The following criteria were also applied to finalize a county's BOND award.

\*Graduated awards based on 3-yr avg underspending, excluding extended underspending, year 1 of 3: 0% = \$14,500, 0.05%-1.49% = \$8,000, 1.50-2.49% = \$5,000, 2.5-10% = \$2,000, >10% = \$0.

\*\*Graduated awards based on 2017 Census acres: 300,000 or more = \$24,000, 200,000-299,999 = \$16,250, 100,000-199,999 = \$12,000, 20,000-99,999 = \$7,000, <19,999 = \$2,000.

\*\*\*Graduated awards based on 3-yr cumulative spending: >\$300K = \$33,900, \$200K-\$299,999 = \$25,000, \$150K-\$199,999 = \$18,000, \$100K-\$149,999 = \$13,400, \$40K-\$99,999 = \$7,500, <\$40,000 = \$0

County Name in *Italics* = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year

County Name Shaded: County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award.

**Table A-3: County SEG Cost-Share Awards**

County	Ranking and Award			County	Ranking and Award		
	Score	Grouping	Award		Score	Grouping	Award
<i>Adams</i>	35	4	\$25,000	Marathon	90	1	\$95,000
<i>Ashland</i>	45	4	\$20,000	Marinette	50	3	\$55,000
<i>Barron</i>	20	5	\$35,000	Marquette	55	3	\$65,000
<i>Bayfield</i>	45	4	\$8,000	Menominee	0	0	NA
<i>Brown</i>	50	3	\$20,000	Milwaukee	15	5	NA
<i>Buffalo</i>	30	4	\$20,000	Monroe	65	2	\$50,000
<i>Burnett</i>	20	5	\$20,000	Oconto	50	3	\$50,972
<i>Calumet</i>	65	2	\$40,000	Onieda	35	4	NA
<i>Chippewa</i>	60	3	\$55,000	<i>Outagamie</i>	70	2	\$65,000
<i>Clark</i>	80	1	\$80,000	<i>Ozaukee</i>	50	3	\$25,000
<i>Columbia</i>	85	1	\$95,000	Pepin	45	4	\$40,000
<i>Crawford</i>	20	5	\$8,000	Pierce	35	4	\$20,000
<i>Dane</i>	85	1	\$95,000	Polk	25	4	NA
<i>Dodge</i>	75	2	\$10,000	Portage	20	5	NA
<i>Door</i>	50	3	\$28,000	Price	10	5	NA
<i>Douglas</i>	10	5	NA	Racine	35	4	\$55,000
<i>Dunn</i>	40	4	\$28,000	Richland	20	5	\$20,000
<i>Eau Claire</i>	50	3	\$55,000	Rock	75	2	\$75,000
<i>Florence</i>	0	0	NA	<i>Rusk</i>	20	5	\$25,000
<i>Fond du Lac</i>	60	3	\$20,000	Saint Croix	30	4	\$35,000
<i>Forest</i>	5	5	\$10,000	Sauk	55	3	\$55,000
<i>Grant</i>	40	4	NA	Sawyer	10	5	\$8,000
<i>Green</i>	40	4	\$20,000	<i>Shawano</i>	55	3	\$40,000
<i>Green Lake</i>	50	3	\$30,000	Sheboygan	50	3	\$20,000
<i>Iowa</i>	35	4	\$45,000	Taylor	35	4	\$40,000
<i>Iron</i>	35	4	NA	Trempealeau	80	1	\$30,000
<i>Jackson</i>	25	4	\$20,000	Vernon	40	4	\$55,000
<i>Jefferson</i>	55	3	\$12,000	Vilas	0	0	NA
<i>Juneau</i>	35	4	\$20,000	Walworth	45	4	\$20,000
<i>Kenosha</i>	15	5	\$16,000	Washburn	10	5	\$6,000
<i>Kewaunee</i>	50	3	\$20,000	Washington	50	3	\$10,000
<i>La Crosse</i>	60	3	\$20,000	Waukesha	35	4	NA
<i>Lafayette</i>	55	3	\$20,000	Waupaca	80	1	\$95,000
<i>Langlade</i>	60	3	\$40,000	Wausara	20	5	\$25,000
<i>Lincoln</i>	20	5	NA	Winnebago	55	3	\$55,000
<i>Manitowoc</i>	65	2	\$75,000	Wood	55	3	\$54,000
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$2,198,972</b>			
County Name in Italics = County transferred funds awarded in prior grant year NA= County did not apply for SEG funds				County Name Shaded = County awarded the amount of its request, which was less than the maximum grant award			

## DNR ALLOCATIONS

DNR's portion of this final allocation provides funding to counties through three programs:

- 1) Targeted Runoff Management (TRM),
- 2) Notice of Discharge (NOD), and
- 3) Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Construction (UNPS-Construction).

Table B shows the final allocation to each county grantee for TRM and UNPS-Construction. Additionally, NOD reserves are established as specific county allocations are unknown at this time.

### **FUNDING SOURCES**

Allocations for TRM projects and NOD projects are from bond revenue appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(tf), Wis. Stats., Federal Clean Water Act Section 319, and segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(aq), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Construction projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.866(2)(th), Wis. Stats.

Allocations to counties for UNPS-Planning projects, when requested, are from segregated funds appropriated under s. 20.370(6)(dq), Wis. Stats.

*Note: DNR will also provide TRM grants and UNPS-Construction grants to non-county grantees. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.*

- For all grant programs, funds will be considered "committed" when a grantee has returned to the DNR a signed copy of the grant agreement.
- For the TRM program, grant agreements not signed by the deadline may be rescinded by DNR, and the associated grant funds may be used to fund other eligible projects in rank order based on project scores. If, for any reason, funds committed through this

allocation plan become available after March 31, 2021, these funds may be held to fund projects selected in the next grant cycle.

### **1. TRM Final Allocation**

The DNR allocates up to \$4,595,698 to counties for cost sharing of TRM projects during calendar year 2021. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimated state share for 13 out of 20 eligible county Small-Scale TRM applications. Additionally, this amount is adequate to fully fund of the estimated state share for 6 out of the 14 eligible county Large-Scale TRM applications. As shown in Chart 1, there is \$4,639,929 of unmet needs for county TRM projects.

The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Small-Scale TRM project is \$225,000. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a single Large-Scale TRM project is \$600,000.

TRM allocations made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2021 through 2022 for Small-Scale projects and through 2023 for Large-Scale projects. Project applications are screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.65(4c), Wis. Stats. Adjustments to grant amounts may occur to account for eligibility of project components, cost-share rates, or ch. NR 151 enforcement action at the time that DNR negotiates the actual grant agreement with an applicant.

### **2. UNPS Final Allocation**

**PLANNING.** UNPS-Planning grant applications were not solicited in 2020 for the 2021 award cycle. DNR has implemented an alternating schedule for both UNPS-Planning and UNPS-Construction grants. The UNPS-Planning grant application will be available in early 2021 for 2022 awards.

**CONSTRUCTION.** The DNR allocates up to \$68,250 to counties cost sharing of UNPS projects during calendar year 2021. This amount is adequate to fully fund the estimate

state share for both of the eligible county UNPS Construction grant applications.

The DNR will not solicit UNPS-Construction grant applications in 2021. These will next be available in 2022 for 2023 grant awards. The maximum cost-share amount that can be awarded for a UNPS-Construction grant is \$150,000 (with an additional \$50,000 for land acquisition).

The DNR will also provide UNPS-Construction grants to non-county applicants. Wisconsin Statutes do not require that non-county grantees be listed in this allocation plan.

The UNPS-Construction awards made through this plan will be reimbursed to grantees during calendar years 2021 and 2022. Project applications have been screened, scored, and ranked in accordance with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats.

### **3. Notice of Discharge Program**

#### **A. Background**

DNR issues notices of discharge (NOD) and notices of intent (NOI) under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code; this code regulates animal feeding operations. DNR has authority under s. 281.65(4e), Wis. Stats., to provide grant assistance for NOD and NOI projects outside the competitive TRM process. DNR is authorized to award grants to governmental units, which in turn enter into cost-share agreements with landowners that have received an NOD or NOI.

Cost-share assistance is provided to landowners to meet the regulatory requirements of an NOD issued under ch. NR 243, Wis. Adm. Code. In some cases, cost-share assistance must be offered before enforcement action can be taken. In other cases, DNR is not required to provide cost sharing but may do so at its discretion. DNR has several permitting and enforcement options available under ch. NR 243 if landowners should fail to meet the conditions of the NOD.

#### **B. NOD Final Allocation**

This Final Allocation Plan establishes a reserve of \$1,500,000 for NOD projects during calendar year 2021. The reserve includes funds for structural practices in eligible locations. DNR may use its discretion to increase this reserve if needed. To receive a grant award, a governmental unit must submit an application to DNR that describes a specific project and includes documentation that an NOD or NOI has either already been issued or will be issued by DNR concurrent with the grant award. Once DNR issues a grant to the governmental unit to address an NOD or NOI, DNR will designate a portion of the reserve specifically for that project.

Since DATCP also administers funds to correct NODs, DNR and DATCP will consult on each NOD application to ensure that the two agencies are making the most efficient use of the available funds to address these problem sites.

DNR will require that county grantees commit funds to a cost-share agreement with the landowner within a timeframe that is consistent with the compliance schedule in the NOD. The county grantee shall use the grant award to reimburse the landowner for costs incurred during the grant period, which may extend beyond calendar year 2021. If the landowner fails to install practices listed in the cost-share agreement within the timeframe identified, DNR will terminate its grant with the county, leaving the landowner to correct the problems identified in the NOD without the benefit of state cost sharing.

Fund balances from terminated NOD grants and projects completed under budget may be returned to the reserve account and made available to other NOD applicants. Reserve funds remaining at the end of calendar year 2021 may either be carried over for the calendar year 2022 NOD reserve account or may be allocated for calendar year 2021 or 2022 TRM projects.

DNR and DATCP issue a joint report annually to the LWCB on progress in administering NOD funds.

### **SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE 2021 JOINT PRELIMINARY ALLOCATION PLAN**

The DATCP portion of the final plan contains the following change from the preliminary allocation plan:

- Adjustment to the UW-CALS allocation to account for available extended funds from 2020.

The DNR portion of the final plan includes the following changes from the preliminary allocation plan:

- Updated Charts 1 and 2 to reflect currently available funding to county projects.
- Updated Tables B & C to reflect DNR's funding decisions for county TRM and UNPS grant applications.

### **FINAL ACTION**

DATCP has determined that the action described in this allocation plan for the 2021 soil and water resource management grant program shown in Table A conforms to the applicable DATCP provisions of s. 92.14, Wis. Stats, and ATCP 50, Wis. Administrative Code. DATCP reserves the right to reallocate grant funds unexpended by recipients.

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2020

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, TRADE  
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION




Randy Romanski, Secretary-designee

DNR has determined that the actions described in this allocation plan for the 2021 allocations of DNR funds shown in Table B conforms with the provisions of ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats.

Dated this 10 day of December, 2020

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DocuSigned by:



7372F609DAE441F...  
Preston D. Cole, Secretary